| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 09/601,037 | PODGORNIK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ernest G. Therkorn | 1723 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Ernest G. Therkorn*.

(2) *William E. Player*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *17 December 2003*

**Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*None*

Claims discussed:
*None*

Prior art documents discussed:
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*The examiner proposed the changes to claim 63 to enhance the clarity of the claim. William E. Player then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Ernest G Therkorn

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)